Mr. Singla, it is not your business.

SHRI SUKH RAM: I agree with the hon. Member that the ITI has been a very good public sector undertaking. But, now, when there is a competition with the private sector, the problem has arisen. There used to be administered prices. I can quote you a number of instances where the public sector was charging very high prices. For instance, it was charging about Rs. 35 lakhs for one equipment. With the coming in of the private sector and the competition, now the price has come down to Rs.7 lakhs or Rs.8 lakhs against Rs.35 lakhs. ....*(Interruptions)*

Please listen to me.

Now, the hon. House has to judge whether we should continue with the administered prices or let them charge whatever they like. At that time they were doing very well.

In order to give them good business, I have made a reservation of 35 per cent for the public sector. Out of the total quantity, they will get 35 per cent. If they are H-1, in addition to 35 per cent, they will get more .....*(Interruptions)*

The hon. Member himself has said that it is undergoing losses. Is a company which is undergoing losses in a position to invest money in a competitive environment?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question No.22.

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA: Sir, you permitted me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: We have really taken half an hour on this. The Minister has answered it adequately.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: The Minister has not answered it, Sir.

Relief and Rehabilitation Package to 1984 Riot Victims of Delhi

*22. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV:
SHRI SANJAY DALMIA:†

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of relief and rehabilitation package so far given to 1984 riot victims of Delhi; and

(b) the number of victims who are yet to be rehabilitated?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SYED SIBTEY RAZI): (a) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) Under the directions of the Government of India, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi has undertaken various relief and rehabilitation measures for the victims of November, 1984 riots. The details of schemes as on 31.1.1996 are as under:

1. **COMPENSATION IN CASE OF DEATH/INJURY:**

Financial assistance in the form of compensation has been given to next of kin of those who have suffered either death or injury during the riots. The compensation in case of death has been given @ Rs. 20,000/- per death and for sustaining injuries, it was between Rs. 500/- and Rs. 2000/-. The number of claims settled so far is as follows:

	No. of Claims settled	Expenditure incurred
(i)	Death 2564	Rs. 512 Lakhs
(ii)	Injury 2603	Rs. 54.62 lakhs

2. **COMPENSATION IN CASE OF DWELLING UNITS:**

The loss to the dwelling units of

†The Question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri Sanjay Dalmia.

families affected by riots has been compensated at the following rates:—

(i) For total damage @ Rs. 20,000/-
(ii) For partial damage @ Rs. 2,000/- to Rs. 10,000/-

An amount of Rs. 583.68 lakhs has been spent as compensation in respect of 3537 dwelling units damaged during the riots.

3. MARRIAGE ASSISTANCE:

Financial assistance for the remarriage of riot affected widows or their daughters @ Rs. 5,000/- and Rs. 3,000/- respectively has been granted and 228 cases involving an expenditure of Rs. 7.12 lakhs have so far been settled:

214 cases for daughter's marriage;
14 cases or remarriage.

4. GRANT OF PENSION:

An adhoc relief of Rs. 400/-was granted with effect from 18.3.87 to riot-affected widows and aged persons who lost their earning and would be earning members. The scale of relief was enhanced to Rs. 1,000/-p.m. with effect from 16.3.90. Besides, additional pension of Rs. 1,000/- p.m. was granted with effect from 18.6.90 to the widows till such time that one son/daughter of theirs becomes an earning member. At present, 200 widows are getting pension, out of which 35 widows were sanctioned additional pension. Out of these 35 widows, 22 widows are still getting additional pension while the remaining 13 cases are under process for verification. 66 Old persons are getting this relief. The total expenditure incurred under the scheme is Rs. 256 lakhs.

5. EMPLOYMENT TO WIDOWS/ WARDS:

In order to ensure rehabilitation of the riot-affected widows/wards, a scheme to provide employment has been implemented by Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi. A total of 684 persons has so far been given employment under the scheme.

6. ALLOTMENT OF TENEMENTS:

A large number of affected families during the riots have left their houses due to various reasons. A scheme to provide alternative accommodation to the affected families has been formulated and implemented. As many as 2181 tenements have so far been allotted to these families while 670 housing sites have been allotted in Rohini.

7. COMPENSATION OF UNINSURED COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES:

Financial assistance to the extent of 50% of the loss suffered or Rs. 50,000/-, whichever is lower, was given to the uninsured commercial properties affected during the November, 1984 riots. 3415 cases have been covered under this category involving expenditure of Rs. 477 lakhs.

8. ANCILLARY UNITS:

An ancillary unit has been set up at Tilak Vihar by the Delhi State Civil Supplies Corporation where a total of 58 widows have taken up gainful employment by undertaking packing of food-grains and grinding of spices.

9. GRANT OF BANK LOAN:

The commercial institutions have granted liberal loan to the riot affected families so as to enable them to restart economic activities.

10. GRANT OF STIPEND TO WARDS:

Stipend to the wards of 1984 riot-affected widows at the rate of Rs. 50 per month and at the rate of Rs. 100 for schools and college going students has been sanctioned, the payment for which is disbursed on yearly basis. Payment in 3057 cases has been made involving an expenditure of Rs. 18.59 lakhs for the period 1993-94.

(b) All the eligible victims of the 1984 riots in Delhi have been provided relief and rehabilitation.

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Sir, the Statement which is laid on the Table of the House is contradictory to the figures which have been provided in the Budget for the last two years. The total figure, according to the statement, comes to something like Rs. 15 crores, while the Budget provision for the last two years for this particular purpose under the Ministries of Finance and Home was between Rs. 50 crores and 60 crores. So, there is this contradiction in part (a) of the statement. In part (b), the statement says that all the victims have been rehabilitated. This is also contradictory to my information, because we have taken up the cause of 386 families who have yet to be rehabilitated and the matter was cleared, according to my information, by the Central Government some time back and is lying with the Delhi Government. so, Sir, before I start my supplementary, I would like to know the correct position.

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Sir, there is no contradiction at all between the figures of the Budget and the figures which I have submitted before this hon. House. The figure which we have given is the actual disbursement to all eligible families who were affected during the riots.

As regards the other, I would like to submit that 386 cases are still pending with us and they are under inquiry.

In part (b), we have categorically stated the cases which were eligible. These cases have still to prove their eligibility. Because of riots certain families migrated to the adjoining States. Later on they approached the Central Government and requested that their cases should also be considered. We took cognisance of their applications and requests and forwarded their cases to the State Government of Delhi. They issued a proforma to all these 386 families. Only 284 families submitted the filled in proforma back to the authorities. Out of these, 74 families were those which were not actually included in the original list of 386. So, the balance of 210 cases were referred by the GNCTD, Delhi Government to the States where they had gone back. Out of these 210 cases, 20 belong to the Haryana State, 56 to Chandigarh and the balance to the Punjab State. The Haryana Government and the Chandigarh Administration and the Punjab Government are still verifying the contents which had been submitted in the applications.

Apart from that, there was another *Dharna* at Metcalfe House in Delhi, where 191 cases again came up before us. We have referred those cases to the State Government of Delhi to verify the antecedents of those persons. The State Government of Delhi has given them the proforma. Out of these, 156 families have returned the filled-in proforma and the State Government is verifying those proforma which had been submitted by those families. As soon as these cases get verified, the State Government will take congizance of those cases.

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Sir, I have clearly said that there was a contradiction. Now, the Minister says that there is no contradiction. About Rs. 50 crores or Rs. 60 crores was provided for in the last 12 years. Out of that only Rs. 15 crores has been disbursed in the last 2 or 3 years. What is preventing them from distributing the balance amount? Sir, the amount which has been provided for as relief is very meagre even if all the cases are disposed of. Then, there is an over-provision in the budget. What are we going to do with that budget? Are we going to take it up? If all the victims have been rehabilitated, then, my submission is, increase the amount of compensation which you have given. You must fulfil at least the budget provision.

Secondly, according to me, all the riot victims have not been provided relief so far. So, there is a contradiction. That is what I am trying to say.

Thirdly, I myself said that we have taken up the cases of 300 odd people. The Central Government has approved it and sent it to the Delhi Government for necessary action. There is a difference in that. If my information is correct, I would pray to the Minister to please see that these cases are decided as early as possible, not later than the 31st March, 1996 because for the next year there will be a further provision in the budget. I have two points. If all the victims have been rehabilitated the budget amount which has been left over should be given to those persons who have been given the amount because the amount of Rs. 20,000/- for death is a very, very small amount. Now, if you have the money and there is no taker, please increase the amount. This is number one.

Secondly, these 300 odd cases must be disposed of by the 31st March, 1996 because there will be a further provision in the budget for the next year for this purpose.

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the House would agree that each case is decided on its merit. My learned friend is trying to emphasise that whatever amount has been provided for in the budget, there is no way to disburse it to the people who have been affected. The people who have been affected, their cases are being inquired into.

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: I have said that if all the people who have been affected by riots have been rehabilitated, then, increase the amount and give it to them. If the Minister feels ...*(Interruptions)*....

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have put your question. Let the Minister answer that.

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: He is not answering it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Give him some time.

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Sir, in the beginning for death of a person in a riot the ex-gratia payment was fixed at Rs. 10,000/-. But later on, in 1986, it was increased to Rs. 20,000/-. For destruction of a house, earlier the amount fixed was Rs. 10,000/-. Later on it was increased to Rs. 20,000/-. If a house is partially destroyed, previously the amount fixed was between Rs. 5,000/- and Rs. 10,000/-. So, in this way we have already increased the amount.

Regarding the query of the hon. Member that all the cases should be expedited, I may inform him that we have recently held a meeting with the Secretary (Relief), Government of Punjab on the 20th February, 1996 and requested him to expedite the matter. So, basically the matter rests with the State Government. From the Central Government side we are discharging our duty most faithfully...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: I have asked about one simple thing, that Rs. 15 crores has been spent so far. There is a provision of about Rs. 55 crores. Why can't you distribute the balance amount among the persons who have already been given Rs. 20,000/-? Why can't you make it Rs. 50,000/-? Why can't you make it Rs. 1,00,000/-? What is the problem?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have called Mr. Balbir Singh, please, ...*(Interruptions)*... please.

SHRI BALBIR SINGH: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the reply of the Minister says that under the direction of the Government of India, the Government of the National Capital Territory of Delhi has undertaken various relief and rehabilitative measures.

मैं मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूं कि जब यह सारा फसाद हुआ, तो किसकी सरकार थी? अब गवर्नमैंट ऑफ इण्डिया अपनी जिम्मेदारी से क्यों भाग रही हैं? एक डेथ पर कोई बीस हजार रुपया देना ब्रैड अर्नर की कम्पनसेशन हो जाती हैं? और 12 साल हो गये सरकार ने कुछ नहीं किया और इसमें बार-बार

प्रोमिसिज़ देते जा रहे हैं। मैं आपसे पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या आप बीस हजार रुपये ही देना चाहते हैं या इसको बढ़ाने का इरादा रखते हैं? मैं श्री संजय डालमिया को एग्री करता हूं और मेरे नोटिस में बहुत से लोगों के केसेज़ आये हैं, जिनको कम्पनसेशन नहीं मिला है, सारे लोगों को कम्पनसेशन नहीं मिला है और न वे रि-हेबिलीटेट हुये हैं। जो आपका "बी" रिप्लाई है, यह मिस लीडिंग है।

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ी: सर, जैसे ही ये हालात हुए थे उसके बाद सैन्ट्रल गवर्नमैंट ने इस मैटर को रिव्यू किया था तो उस समय ये एमाउन्ट फिक्स किए गये थे। उस समय करीब दस कैटेगरीज बनाई गई थी और उन तमाम कैटेगरीज के अन्तर्गत ये सारे रिलीफ दिये गये थे। अभी फिलहाल सरकार के सामने कोई इस तरह का विचार नहीं है कि दुबारा इस पर विचार किया जाए कि एमाउन्ट बढ़ाया जाए क्योंकि ये पुराने केसेज का है। जहां तक.....(**व्यवधान**)

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: How do they compute it? Will they enhance the amount? (*Interruptions*).

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ी: जहां तक माननीय सदस्यों ने कुछ और नामों की बात की है हमारे संज्ञान में लाए जाएंगे, जैसा एक माननीय सदस्य 386 केसेज लाए हैं, यदि और कोशिश करेंगे हमारे संज्ञान में नाम लाएंगे तो हम इसे स्टेट गवर्नमेंट को भेजेंगे, उनको निर्देश देंगे कि इस मामले में कदम उठाये जाएं।

.....(**व्यवधान**)

बलबीर सिंह: यदि आपने कुछ किया होता तो ये लोग दिल्ली में सरकार नहीं बनाते.....(**व्यवधान**)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please listen to the Minister instead of continuously interrupting. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: In the reply, item No. 5 refers to 'Employment to Widows/Wards'. It says, "In order to ensure rehabilitation of the riot-affected widows/wards, a scheme to provide employment has been implemented by Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi." I would like to know how many unemployed people are still there and after the elections, after a popular Government has come to power in Delhi, how many employments have been given by that Government apart from the ones given when the territorial Government was in existence. (*Interruptions*). Sir, I have not completed.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Sir, it is very sad. After the 1984 riots,..... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: Sir, I have not completed the question yet.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please conclude now.

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: Sir, it is not a question of political parties.

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: What did you do when you were there? (*Interruptions*).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shinde, please conclude the question.

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: The point is that one has to look into cases of humanitarian grounds totally. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Sir, how can he reply in respect of the Delhi Government? (*Interruptions*).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please listen to him. (*Interruptions*).

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: We are asking the Government of India. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: You are not the Government of India, Mr. Shinde. (*Interruptions*).

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is for the Minister to answer. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: My point is that one has to look into the entire thing. (*Interruptions*).

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: We are talking about the fai-

lure in implementation..... *(Interruptions).*

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: I have my right. Sir, I need your protection. They should stop it. *(Interruptions).* The question is whether they have any..... *(Interruptions).*

SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: Sir, he should not be allowed to put this question.

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: We have to see the humanitarian grounds...*(Interruptions).*

MR. CHAIRMAN: Does the Minister have an answer *(Interruptions).*

The Minister is answering. Please sit down. *(Interruptions).*

SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: It is a highly politically motivated question. *(Interruptions).* He should not be allowed to raise it. *(Interruptions).*

श्री दिग्विजय सिंह: यह लोगों की भावनाओं के साथ मजाक है। .....**(व्यवधान)**..... सारे लोगों के साथ मजाक किया जा रहा है।.....**(व्यवधान)**.....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shinde. You allow the Minister to answer. You cannot prolong your question any more. You have taken enough time. If you have not asked the question, then it is your fault. Please sit down. *((Interruptions).*

SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: It is injustice on women. *(Interruptions).*

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: We will tell you about the widows of 1984 riots. *(Interruptions).* They should have some shame. *(Interruptions).* They should be removed because they are accused. *(Interruptions).*

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ी: माननीय चेयरमैन साहब, निश्चय ही यह बड़ा मानवीय प्रश्न है, यह बड़ा संवेदनशील प्रश्न है। जैसा मैंने आपके सामने संकेत किया कि ला एंड आर्डर का जहा तक मामला आता है, यह स्टेट गवर्नमेंट के अधिकार में आता है। निश्चित रूप से दिल्ली जो थी वह यूनियन टैरीटरी उस समय थी और इसीलिए केंद्रीय सरकार ने उसमें इंटरवीन करके इसको रिव्यू किया। दस श्रेणियां बनाई गई कि किस तरह से कम्पनसेशन दिया जाए, उन लोगों को जो रायट्स में एफेक्टेड हुए हैं। इसके अन्तर्गत, जैसा कि माननीय सदस्य ने यहां पर कहा कि इम्पलाईमेंट आफ विडो वार्ड के सिलसिले में, तो 684 जो व्यक्ति थे, पर्सन्स थे, उनको रीहैवलीटेट किया गया और उनको इम्पलाईमेंट दिया गया। जहां तक सवाल है कि इसमें कम दिया गया या ज्यादा दिया गया, यह अलग प्रश्न है। इस प्रश्न से इसका संबंध नहीं है। जो स्थिति थी वह सरकार ने आपके सामने यहां पर रखी है। जहां तक मानवीय दृष्टिकोण या संवेदनशीलता का सवाल है, पूर्ण रूप से सरकार इस दृष्टि से भी इस मामले में सजग है। लेकिन जो परिस्थिति इन रायट्स के बाद पैदा हुई उसके बाद इन लोगों को रीहैवलीटेशन के लिए इस तरह की असिस्टेंस और अनुदान की जो परिस्थिति रही वह परिस्थिति में यहां पर रख रहा हूं।

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA: It is shameful. They are selling human blood. *(Interruptions).* Human blood is being sold. *(Interruptions).*

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: Sir, I rise to ask this question with a great deal of pain. Twelve years have passed and the weeping wounds still continue to weep. The families who were devastated in 1984, and some of us who had the misfortune of witnessing that scene, still cannot forget the harrowing tales of those days. My friend, the Minister, who is very competent otherwise, is now thinking in terms of figures only. When the present Government was in office, they started paying Rs. 400/-. It was Mufti Mohd. Sayeed, who as the Home Minister, raised it to more than twice, that is, Rs. 1,000/-. The State Government of Punjab is paying Rs. 3,000/-. These widows are suffering and the unemployed youths are wandering in the streets. Therefore, there are two problems. Firstly, how do we try to raise the compensation? As per the statement, even after twelve years, more than 386

cases are pending. In fact, the number is more than that. There is one more dimension to it. I would like the Home Minister to respond to this. Several Commissions were appointed. They named the culprits who were responsible for the riots. The Government has increasingly and steadfastly stood in the way of bringing them before the court. I would like to know how many of them are leading members of the ruling party. *(Interruptions)*.

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Is the Ranganath Misra Commission not related to that? *(Interruptions)*. What is the doing about that? That Commission has named certain people. What has he to say about that? We would like to know that also.

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ी: माननीय सदस्य ने जो सवाल उठाया है, निश्चित रूप से जो फिगर्ज़ हमारे पास हैं, हम वही फिगर्ज़ देंगे और सरकार का यह दायित्व बनता है कि जो उसने अब तक किया है उसके बारे में सदन को कॉनफिडेंस में ले। 1984 में जो रायट्स हुए थे इस पीरियड में 1991 से लेकर अब तक दो और सरकारें भी आई हैं। इसलिए किसी एक सरकार पर इस तरह से लांछन लगाना कि हम संवेदनशील नहीं हैं **(व्यवधान)** यह कहना उचित नहीं होगा। **(व्यवधान)**

श्री दीपांकर मुखर्जी: कलंकित हैं **(व्यवधान)** लांछन है **(व्यवधान)**

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ी: जहां तक 386 केसेज़ का सवाल है जो केसेज़ 1994 में 10 साल के बाद आए **(व्यवधान)**

You listen to me..... *(Interruptions)*..... Please listen to me.

श्री मोहम्मद अफज़ल उर्फ मीम अफज़ल: आपकी सरकार थी उस वक्त जब रायट हुए थे और 2564 लोग मारे गये थे। 2564 लोगों के मारे जाने पर आपने कितने लोगों के खिलाफ एफ०आई०आर० दर्ज की है। **(व्यवधान)**

†[श्री मोहम्मद अफज़ल उर्फ मीम अफज़ल: आपकी सरकार थी उस वक्त जब रायट हुए थे और 2564 लोग मारे गये थे। 2564 लोगों के मारे जाने पर आपने कितने लोगों के खिलाफ एफ०आई०आर० दर्ज की है। **(व्यवधान)**]†

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Sir, I need your protection. Let me rely to the question which has been raised..... *(Interruptions)*.....

सर, 386 केसेज़ के बारे में संजय डालमिया साहब ने यहां पर कहा है, निश्चित रूप से यह केसेज़ 10 साल के बाद सरकार के सामने लाए गए हैं। सन् 1994 में यह 386 केसेज़ लाए हैं और हमने फौरन उस पर कार्यवाही की है। हम पेंडेंसी को एक्सपीडाइट करने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। हमारा ऐसा कोई विचार नहीं है कि कोई भी व्यक्ति यदि दंगे में दोषी पाया जाए तो उसको बचाया जाए या अगर प्रभावित हुआ है तो उसको पूरा अनुदान न दिया जाए। किसी भी प्रकार से सरकार की ऐसी नीयत नहीं है। **(व्यवधान)**

श्री दिग्विजय सिंह: रंगानाथन मिश्र के बारे में क्या कर रहे हैं? **(व्यवधान)** What about the Ranganath Mishra Commission's Report?..... *(Interruptions)*.....

SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: How many FIRs have been lodged?

श्री इकबाल सिंह: 2984 हैं, मैं आपको बता देता हूं। मुझे इसके बारे में पता है। मंत्री जी ने जो जवाब दिये हैं उसमें उन्होंने कंपनसेशन के बारे में लिखा है और विडोज़ को सेटल करने के लिए लिखा है बच्चों के लिए लिखा है। मान्यवर, माननीय मंत्री जी से मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि पंजाब में 25000 के करीब डेथ्स हुई हैं टेररिज्म के चलते। वहां पर फैसला किया गया कि एक लाख रुपया पर किलिंग का दिया जाएगा। बम्बई में बम ब्लास्ट हुआ तो वहां दो लाख रुपया पर-किलिंग दिया गया।

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: The High Court is now giving Rs. 10 lakh.

श्री इकबाल सिंह: सर, जब कश्मीरी रिहेबिलिटेशन के सूरत मे झगड़े हुए तो वहां भी दो लाख रुपये दिये

†[ ] Transliteration in Arabic script.

गये। मान्यवर, मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि 12 साल हो गये इस मामले को, बार-बार पार्लियामेंट में आ रहा है, पहले तो कुछ साल आया ही नहीं, यहां तक कि माइनारिटीज़ के लिए अफसोस तक इस सभा में नहीं किया गया। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या बीस हजार रुपये देकर आप यह कहना चाहते हैं कि यह कंपनसेशन है? जहां दो लाख रुपये बम्बई में, दो लाख रुपये सूरत में दिये गये, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या माइनारिटिज़ का ब्लड हिन्दुस्तान में इतना सस्ता है कि उनको बीस हजार रुपये देकर आप यह कहते हैं कि यह कंपनसेशन है? मैं यह भी जानना चाहता हूं और मंत्री जी से कहना चाहता हूं कि जो यह रूल बनाए गए हैं, पहले तो इनको 1984 के रायट नहीं कहना चाहिये, दंगा नहीं कहना चाहिये। यह दंगा नहीं था, यह मर्डर किये गये थे। **(व्यवधान)**

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: You are very right.

श्री दिग्विजय सिंहः सही बात है। **(व्यवधान)**

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Deliberate murders.

श्री इकबाल सिंहः चेयरमैन साहब, यह मर्डर हुए हैं। मर्डर हुए 12 साल हो गये लेकिन अभी तक अफसोस भी नहीं किया गया, कंपनसेशन भी नहीं दिया गया और जितने केसेज़ इन्होंने पेंडिंग बताए हैं, उससे भी बहुत बड़ी लिस्ट है। मेरे माननीय दोस्त सरदार बलबीर सिंह जी, मैम्बर पार्लियामेंट ने भी डिमांड की है कि हाफ-एन-आवर डिसक्शन किया जाए, मैं भी इस मामले पर हाफ-एन-आवर डिसक्शन चाहता हूं ताकि हम पूरी तरह डिसकस कर सकें। **(व्यवधान)**

SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA: Sir, we all support him. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: We also support him. We want a Half-an-Hour Discussion on this question, Sir.

...*(Interruptions)*...

सैयद सिब्ते रज़ीः चेयरमैन साहब, यह घटना जो 1984 में हुई हम सब पीड़ित हैं। हम सब को अफसोस हुआ है **(व्यवधान)** बहुत दुखद घटना थी। मैं समझता हूं कि इस बात को बार-बार हम कह चुके हैं और अब भी कहते हैं। इस तरह से मैं समझता हूं कि जितना जज़बात का सवाल माननीय सदस्य का है, उतना ही जज़बात का सवाल हमारी सरकार का भी है। जो संबंधित सवाल है वह है कि पिछले रायट्स में जो अफेकटेड हुए हैं अब तक उनको कितना पैसा दिया गया है। इसके बारे में सारा जवाब दे दिया गया है। जहां तक सवाल है, निश्चित रूप से यह दंगा था ...**(व्यवधान)** निश्चित रूप से यह दंगा था, इसके लिए एक इन्क्वायरी कमीशन बैठाया गया है। दंगे के लिए रिपोर्ट आई है और इस पर सारी कार्यवाही हुई है। ...**(व्यवधान)**

SHRI BALBIR SINGH: Is the Government ready for a half-an-hour discussion? *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: The rate of compensation should be increased. That was the specific question and the Minister has not replied to that. He has said about what is being paid in Punjab, what is being paid in Maharashtra and in other places. Why is the Central Government paying only Rs. 20,000? That was the question. The Minister of State for Home Affairs did not reply to this question. This is unfair.

श्री इकबाल सिंहः इन्होंने बिल्कुल जवाब नहीं दिया है कि 20 हजार रुपये कम्पेनसेशन दी गयी है। मैं कह रहा हूं कि सूरत, बम्बई और पंजाब में जिस ढंग से पैसे दिए गए हैं क्या मान्यवर मंत्री जी दो लाख रुपए देने को तैयार हैं, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं ...**(व्यवधान)** इसका इन्होंने उत्तर नहीं दिया है।

SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Sir, he should announce it on the floor of the House. Let it be announced on the floor of the House that it would be Rs. 2 lakhs.

श्री सैयद सिब्ते रज़ीः अभी प्रेजेंट में सरकार के सामने इस समय कोई ऐसा विचार नहीं है।

(Interruptions)

SHRI NILOTPAL BASU: Sir, one question. *(Interruptions)*...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI SOMAPPA R. BOMMAI: Sir, fortunately or unfortunately, I was holding the post of Chief Minister of Karnataka on that day. I came to Delhi. I visited

all the hospitals together with our then party president, Chandra Shekharji. I myself saw more than 2,000 dead bodies in the hospitals. As Chief Minister of Karnataka, I announced on the very day Rs. 20 lakhs for giving bread and milk to the children.

SHRI B.K. HARIPRASAD: You were not the Chief Minister of the State at that time, Sir.

SHRI SOMAPPA R. BOMMAI: I was holding the office of the Chief Minister because Mr. Ramakrishna Hegde was in America. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Who was the Home Minister of India then? *(Interruptions)*... Who was the Home Minister of India then? You must be knowing. *(Interruptions)*... who was the Home Minister of India? We want to know. *(Interruptions)*... When 3,000 innocent Sikhs were burnt to death, who was the Home Minister of India? *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI SOMAPPA R. BOMMAI: Sir, rupees twenty lakhs were insufficient for giving milk and bread to the children. I would like to know from the Minister what the number of persons who were actually killed was. Officially and unofficially you have got the information. Unofficially I have got the information. What was the total loss of property, shops, houses and other things? Whatever the total loss, you have paid only Rs. 15 crores. Lastly, I would like to say that wherever deaths occurred in communal riots throughout the country, Rs. 1 lakh was paid. Why Rs, 20,000 now? Every State pays Rs. 1 lakh. Is the life of minority Sikhs in Delhi so cheap? I repeat the question: Is the Government going to reconsider paying higher compensation? *(Interruptions)*...

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: They have to make a statement on the flor of the House. *(Interruptions)*...

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Sir, I think this question of the life ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: We want a time-bound action plan. *(Interruptions)*...

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S.B. CHAVAN): Sir, I would like to intervene in the matter. Sir, it is a question about the cases which are still pending with the Government. Exactly, we will have to reconsider at what rate we have to pay the victims. But if it amounts to reopening of all the cases even where compensation has already been paid, I am afraid that it will create a very bad precedent. That is why only in cases which are still to be decided, we can review the amount of compensation which needs to be paid ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA: It is discrimination *(Interruptions)* You can't do it ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI PARAG CHALIHA: It will create a sheer discrimination. I would request him to take the whole lot.

श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज: सभापति जी, सारे सदन के सदस्यों द्वारा यह भावनाएं व्यक्त करने के बाद कि यह दंगा नहीं था मंत्री महोदय का यह अड़ियल रूख अपनाकर यह कहना कि यह दंगा था बहुत आपत्तिजनक है। मैं दोहराना चाहूंगी, it was not a riot but it was a massacre.

यह दंगा नहीं था यह निर्मम हत्याकांड था और इस निर्मम हत्याकांड के लोगों को दो तरह की राहत दी जाने की अपेक्षा थी। एक आर्थिक राहत और दूसरी भावनात्मक राहत। जहां तक आर्थिक राहत का प्रश्न है, मेरे से पहले प्रश्न पूछने वाले तमाम सदस्यों ने कहा कि वह आर्थिक राहत नाकाफी है, लेकिन मैं पूछना चाहती हूं कि भावनात्मक राहत इन निर्मम हत्याकांड के शिकार लोगों को केवल तभी मिलेगी जब इन दंगों के दोषियों को सजा मिलेगी। आज 12 साल बीत जाने के बाद भी एक भी दोषी को सजा नहीं मिली, सिर्फ एक बड़े नेता को आपने गिरफ्तार किया है, लेकिन दूसरे दो बड़े नेता आज भी बेलगाम ब्लैक कैट कमांडोज़ की छत्रछाया में घूम रहे हैं। मैं गृह मंत्री जी से जानना चाहूंगी कि उन दो

बड़े दोषी नेताओं को वाकई सजा दिलाने के लिए गृहमंत्री क्या कर रहे हैं ताकि उन लोगों को भावनात्मक राहत के साथ-साथ न्याय मिल सके जो ढाई हजार लोगों की हत्या करवाने के दोषी हैं?

SHRI S.B. CHAVAN: Those against whom the cases have been reviewed by the Lt. Governor himself, and where the evidence has been found and they were considered responsible, some FIRs have been filed. I can't possibly say as to what the investigating agency has done about the others. So far as my information goes, if it is proved that they are also equally guilty, certainly the Government will not hesitate to take action against them all ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ: If you do not know, it means that you are not monitoring the case. For the last 12 years, the case has been going on and you are not monitoring the case.

SHRI M.A. BABY: Sir, after the tragic genocide which happened, I note that there is no division in the House to recognise it as a genocide—the then Home Minister appeared on the television and addressed the nation. The then Home Minister while addressing the nation said, "Enough has happened". I am sure that the recordings of his address to the nation should be available with the Doordarshan. If the then Home Minister had said, "Enough has happened", then it is nothing but a justification of the genocide that happened. Therefore, I would like to know from the Home Minister ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: He is diluting the question ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI M.A. BABY: Therefore, I would like to know from the Home Minister whether this wold be considered as a justification of the genocide. Then, what action will the Home Ministry initiate against the then Home Minister? This is what I would want to know.

SYED SIBTEY RAZI: This supplementary does not arise from the main question. The original question pertains to the details of relief and rehabilitation package so far given to the 1984 riot victims of Delhi.

SHRI S.B. CHAVAN: Sir, may I take this opportunity to strongly refute what Mr. Baby has said? The kind of motivation that he is trying to attribute to the then Home Minister is totally incorrect. And he is unnecessarily trying to motivate something against the then Home Minister. I understand what he has in mind.....*(Interruptions)*.....

SHRI M.A. BABY: Sir, this is on record. This is the address of the then Home Minister to the nation on Doordarshan....*(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Sir, please rule this question out.

SHRI S.B. CHAVAN: It is the height of irresponsibility, I mujst say.....*(Interruptions)*.....

DR. BIPLAB DASGUPTA : What did the Prime Minister of the time say on the issue? *(Interruptions)*. We have heard him.....*(Interruptions)*.

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Please rule this question out....*(Interruptions)*..

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Role of Telecom Commission

*23. SHRI RAM RATAN RAM:
DR. SHRIKANT RAMCHANDRA JICHKAR:

Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) what role Government envisage for the Telecom Commission in the changing scene under competitive environment;